



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 6
1445 ROSS AVENUE
DALLAS, TEXAS 75202-2733

DATE: 2-16-94

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Potential Hazardous Waste Site - Site Inspection Report

FROM: O. Thomas Love
Chief
Water Supply Branch (6W-S) *O. Thomas Love*

TO: Betty Williamson
Chief
Superfund Management Branch (6H-M)

In response to the CERCLA investigation reports which you recently sent to the Water Supply Branch, I am attaching our comments. Thank you for the opportunity to review these documents.

Attachment:

Site Name: Dixie (Tie) Petro-Chemical

Site Location: Longview

Site Number: TXA079836703

cc: George Pettigrew (6H-E)
Charles Gazda (6E-E)

INSPECTION REPORT REVIEW
POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE

SITE NAME : Dixie (TIE) Petro-chemical
LOCATION : Longview, TX
SITE NUMBER: TXD079836763 - Site Sampling Inspection
SURVEY DATE: 5/24/93 INSPECTED BY: STATE FIT TAT OTHER: _____
LABORATORY: ✓ CLP EPA OTHER Houston EPA

Site Insp. Report dated 1/10/94

RECEIVED FROM: Betty Williamson

DATE RECEIVED: 1/28/94

SAMPLE TYPE(s):

SOIL
off / on -site

SURFACE WATER
off / on -site

GROUND WATER
off / on -site

DRINKING WATER
off / on -site

CONTAMINANT(s): Organics Inorganics Radionuclides Microbiological

FOLLOW UP (Y/N):

N (Re) Sampling Requested
N Further Action is URGENT

N Locate Drinking Water Sources
N Keep Site Active ("N"=No Further Action)
Y Concur with Report Recommendations

SUMMARY: This is a review of an SSI report. The site was the location of a commercial repackaging facility of bulk industrial solvents (including hazardous materials) from 1979 to 1986.

The pertinent aquifers dip in NE direction. Two private wells located in ^{NE} and SE direction at about 1 to 1.5 miles from site, were sampled. There were no significant detections of organic compounds in these samples. Only lead was detected at 3 times background and barium and zinc at above background concentrations. Due to the distance to the wells, these contaminants are not necessarily attributable to the site, and Superfund considers there is "no observed release to ground water". There are no downstream surface water intakes within the 15 mile target distance.

Only 5 drinking water wells are within the 4 mile target radius. There are about 800, 21,000 and 45,000 people within a 1, 2 and 4 mile radius, respectively.

Based on a prescore analysis, the site will not warrant consideration as a NPL candidate.

RECOMMENDATION: Concur with Superfund recommendation for a site evaluation accomplished determination.

NOTE: On page 11, paragraph 3, statement indicates that DW18 is background sample and DW20 contains contaminants above BG. Table 4 indicates that DW20 is background sample. It appears either way will not affect decision.

Concurrence:

P. W. Warden Nowis 2/16/94

Len Pardee
ENGINEER/REVIEWER

2/3/94